



CHAIRE DE DROIT EUROPÉEN

The impact of the conditionality of bailout plans on credit institutions

ECRI/DIW/CEPS - June 10, 2009

Damien Gerard

1. DEALING WITH THE FINANCIAL CRISIS: THE EU FRAMEWORK

■ *National* bailout plans

- ⇒ State guarantees and capital injections (and impaired assets relief programs)
 - *Economic policy remains a Member States' competence*
 - *No EU Treasury*

■ *European* coordination

- ⇒ EU State Aid policy enforcement (with strong support of ECB)
 - *Community Guidelines on State aid for rescuing and restructuring firms in difficulty (O.J. [2004] C 244/2)*
 - *Communication from the Commission – The application of State aid rules to measures taken in relation to financial institutions in the context of the current global financial crisis (adopted Oct. 13, 2008 – O.J. [2008] C 270/8)*
 - *Communication from the Commission – The recapitalization of financial institutions in the current financial crisis: limitation of aid to the minimum necessary and safeguards against undue distortions of competition (adopted Dec. 5, 2008 - O.J. [2009] C 10/2)*
 - *Communication on the treatment of impaired assets in the Community banking sector (adopted Feb. 25, 2009 - O.J. [2009] C 72/1)*

2. EUROPEAN COORDINATION: OBJECTIVES AND MEANS

- Prevent distortions of the Internal Market
 - *Avoid discrimination based on “nationality”*
 - *Ensure proportionality in State support*⇒ Eligibility (systemic relevance) and remuneration requirements
- Keep the incentives right
 - *Mitigate « moral hazard » issues*
 - *Level the playing-field for competitors*⇒ Conditionality

NB: not a watertight distinction (e.g., remuneration requirements also contribute indirectly to keeping the incentives right)

3. CONDITIONALITY OF BAILOUT PLANS: LEGAL BASIS

- Phase I: up until mid-September '08
 - *Art. 87(3)(c) EC - Guidelines on rescue and restructuring aids*
 - *Conditions (risk of bankruptcy or loss of banking license):*
 - Restructuring aid (part of restructuring plan to restore viability): (i) **compensatory measures** to avoid undue distortions of competition (**divestitures, reductions in capacity, etc.**); (ii) significant contribution (**50%**) of beneficiaries to restructuring costs (sales of assets, external financing, etc.); (iii) **any necessary conditions and obligations**; (iv) regular reporting obligations.

- Phase II: since mid-September '08
 - *Art. 87(3)(b) EC – “to remedy a serious disturbance in the economy” – ad hoc Communications from the Commission in relation to the financial crisis*
 - *Conditions:*
 - Guarantees: (i) limitations as to the types of eligible securities; (ii) up to 2 years with 6 months review; (iii) adequate remuneration; (iv) **behavioral constraints** to keep incentives right; (v) restructuring if guarantee(s) called upon.
 - Recapitalization (sound vs. distressed banks): (i) high remuneration; (ii) corresponding rights; (iii) **thigh behavioral safeguards** against undue distortions of competition; (iv) **conditions to sustain lending to the real economy**; (v) **restructuring plan for distressed banks assessed in line with restructuring aid guidelines (+ governance measures)**.

4. CONDITIONS TO MITIGATE MORAL HAZARD ISSUES

- Towards shareholders – adequate burden sharing
 - *Limitations on the eligibility of debt instruments for State guarantees*
 - Exclude hybrid or subordinated debt considered as Tier 2 capital (e.g., covered bonds)
 - *Adequate remuneration of State guarantees and capital (ECB guidelines)*
 - Guarantee fees set according to institution-specific risk and fixed mark-up
 - Annual return on capital btw. 8 (e.g., French banks) and 12% (e.g., originally, UK banks)
 - *Limitations on the distribution of dividends by recapitalized banks*
 - Outright prohibition pending redemption (e.g., Germany and Denmark); or
 - Special dividend/coupon for State (e.g., ING, Anglo Irish Bank).
 - *Limitations of share buyback programs*
 - Outright prohibition pending redemption (e.g., Ireland); or
 - Subject to approval by State Board representatives (e.g., ING)
 - *Transfer of power (rights) to State*
 - Issuance of preferred shares carrying extra rights (e.g., Allied Irish Bank – 25%); and/or
 - Appointment of State Board representatives with special veto rights (e.g., GR, IR, ND)

4. CONDITIONS TO MITIGATE MORAL HAZARD ISSUES

- Towards managers – prevent excessive risk taking
 - *Dismissal of executives*
 - Sachsen LB: dismissal of management team – “valuable signal against moral hazard”
 - Fortis: dismissal of management as a “good signal against moral hazard”
 - *Limitations on remunerations and severance packages*
 - UK: (i) no 2008 cash bonus; (ii) compliance with best practice codes; (iii) dismissal at reasonable and fair cost
 - Germany: (i) €500 K remuneration cap; (ii) prohibition of contractual severance terms
 - France: (i) commitments to abide by ethics rules (also for traders); (ii) prohibition of severance package in case of “failure”
 - Ireland: control by newly established public oversight committee
 - Denmark: prohibition of new stock-options plans
 - *Obligations to sustain lending to the real economy/homeowners*
 - UK: maintain availability of lending to homeowners and small businesses at 2007 levels and support schemes to help people to stay in their homes
 - France: obligation to increase loans to individuals, SMEs and local authorities by 3 to 4%
 - Germany: take account of domestic industry’s borrowing requirements (particularly SMEs)

5. CONDITIONS TO PREVENT DISTORTIONS OF COMPETITION

■ Behavioral conditions - duly monitored

⇒ Impact on retail banking services – prevent “aggressive” conduct

- *Prohibition to advertize the benefit of State guarantees/capital (e.g., Dexia)*
- *Growth limitations*
 - GDP-related, market share or balance sheet growth ceilings (progressively abandoned for sound banks)
 - Outright prohibition of acquisitions (e.g., Commerzbank, BNP, JSC Parex Bank)
 - Catch-all clause: “refrain from expansion of business activities that would not have been pursued absent the capital injection” (e.g., ING, Aegon)
- *Conditions on remuneration of services (outcome of complaints)*
 - Prohibition to undercut rivals
 - ✓ On specific services, e.g., Fortis Bank for Internet accounts; or
 - ✓ All deposits, e.g., Dexia; or
 - ✓ For “all” services, e.g., Commerzbank when market share >5%
 - Cap on the openings of preferred savings accounts (e.g., Dexia)
- *Obligation to keep high solvency ratio (e.g., ING)*

5. CONDITIONS TO PREVENT DISTORTIONS OF COMPETITION

■ Structural conditions

■ *Reduction in activities*

- Discontinue proprietary trading (Sachsen LB, West LB, etc.)
- Close risky/loss-making activities
 - ⇒ Sachsen LB: *closure of Irish subsidiary involved in structured financial investments and international real estate business*
 - ⇒ IKB Deutsche Industriebank AG: *abandonment of IKB's main loss making activities, which were also its most important sources of revenues*
 - ⇒ Northern Rock (orig.): *closure of overseas operations, drastic reduction of the bank's lending operations, retail mortgage redemption program*
 - ⇒ Commerzbank: *reduction in investment banking operations*

■ *Divestitures*

- Divestiture of non-core/loss-making businesses (often undisclosed)
 - ⇒ Commerzbank: *divestment of real estate activities (Eurohypo) and "other subsidiaries"*
 - ⇒ Sachsen LB: *"sale of assets"*

⇒ Outcome: balance sheet downsized by 45 to 50%

6. CONDITIONALITY OF BAILOUT PLANS: POLICY ISSUES

- Are structural compensations necessary/desirable?
 - *Systemic crisis? Interconnected financial institutions?*
 - *Sell to whom? At which price?*
 - *Consequences? Credit crunch? Need for flexibility in implementation?*

- Tensions with internal market policy?
 - Member States' focus on domestic economy
 - Controversy about possible divestiture of *Commerzbank*'s retail business in CEECs (not imposed at the end – intervention of BB's Chairman)
 - ⇒ WestLB: *"In the context of its strategic reorientation, WestLB will refocus on its home market...and reduce its international activities"* (idem for Bayern LB)
 - Commission considers positively cross-border rescue mergers (e.g., Santander/B&B, BNP/Fortis Belux; *contra* Fortis Netherlands/ABN Amro)

- Convergence between competition and regulatory objectives?